

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Mathematics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJOM_113136
Title of the Manuscript:	Minimum Injective Eccentric Dominating Energy of Graphs
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	Dear Researcher 1- The search needs to adjust the mathematical symbols and signs. 2- Add illustrations. 3- Research must be supported by scientific evidence and logical steps. 4- Add recent references. 5- The paper needs to add examples of the basic definitions mentioned in the paper. 6- The introduction needs to mention the chronology of the work. 7-Add old sources to the introduction and references. 8- Fix the basics of the topic. 9- A little topic needs to add a new section. 10- The paper contains a very high percentage of similarity. (Reduce the similarity). Thank you very much for listening.	We the authors would like to thank the anonymous reviewers for taking necessary time and effort to review the article. As suggested by the reviewer, I have added recent and relevant references in the revised copy. The literature has been added in introduction section. The clarity of the concept has been explained in Reference [2] and [3].
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	